



INTERNATIONAL TABLE TENNIS COMMITTEE

BOARD OF PROTEST - DECISIONS

The "Board of Protest" meeting was held on May 20th, 2002.

Composition :

- | | |
|------------------------|--|
| - Fred JANSEN | IPC Elected Member – Summer Sports Representative |
| | Member of the "Board of Protest" elected by the IPC |
| - Christian LILLIEROOS | ITTC Chairperson |
| | President of the "Board of Protest" |
| - Jiri DANEK | ITTC Tournament Officer |
| | Elected Member of the "Board of Protest" by the ITTC |
| - Nico VERSPEELT | ITTC Selection Officer |
| | Member in fact of the "Board of Protest" |

Decisions :

- 1) Protest from Brazil - Women class 10
 - i. six slots were allocated in Women's Team 10, only four teams were selected
 - ii. the Selection Committee had to complete the number of team slots (procedure 4.1)
 - iii. only one possibility, to give a wild card (WC) in the Buffer slot to a player from China and a team target player (TTP) to a second player from China, so five teams are selected
 - iv. moreover, Brazil never requested a WC for the Women class 10 player
 - v. **Decision :** the "Board of Protest" reject the protest

- 2) Protest from France - Men class 6
 - i. one WC was given to the only player from Australia (procedure 4.2)
 - ii. one Buffer slot and one TTP slot was possible
 - iii. the choice is going to be for young players who have participated in ITTC tournaments in 2001
 - iv. the holder player from France did not participate in ITTC tournaments during 19 month due to injury
 - v. the "Board of Protest" regretted this situation but was not able to gauge if the player from France is again on a top level
 - vi. **Decision :** the "Board of Protest" reject the protest

3) Protest from Great Britain - Women class 4

- i. in women class 4, the Selection Committee had to give a WC to Taipei, organizing country
- ii. after that, the Committee had to complete the number of team slots
- iii. one WC was given in the Buffer slot to the alterante player from the Switzerland team ranked number 3
- iv. the TTP was given to the player from Spain instead of the player from Great Britain, she is younger, better ranked and is bronze medal in team women 4 during the European Championships in Frankfurt
- v. moreover, Great Britain have a team selected in women class 4 with a selected player class 4 with one of the three selected players from class 2
- vi. **Decision :** the “Board of Protest” reject the protest

4) Protest from Poland - Women class 4

- i. the player was of course first alternate
- ii. to give a WC to the player from Poland would also reduce the number of teams possible in women class 4
- iii. of course, the player was the gold medallist during the European Championships in Women class 5, but to select the player by WC in Women class 4 would not give any possibility to be selected in team Women class 5, the team from Poland is ranked number 10 and only 8 teams can be selected in Women class 5
- iv. **Decision :** the “Board of Protest” reject the protest

5) Late request from Poland – Men class 4

- i. the deadline for a request for WC/TTP was February 1st, 2002
- ii. to enter a request for WC on April 29th, 2002 and a protest (?) on May 6th, cannot be considered
- iii. moreover, this player was rejected by Poland on January 17th, 2002
- iv. **Decision :** the “Board of Protest” cannot accept this request

All the decisions by the “Board of Protest” are final and cannot be contested!

All the selections, Regional, Ranking, Wild Card and Team Target Player are confirmed. No changes are possible!

Only the Alternate Player selected in some classes and accepted by their NPC can replace a selected player rejected due to illness, injury or an acceptable reason till July 1st, 2002. After this date, no rejected player can be replaced!

May 20th, 2002

Christian Lillieroos
President of the “Board of Protest”

Nico Verspeelt
Secretary of the “Board of Protest”